

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/507,629	02/18/2000	Meihua Shen	1945.P3/USA/SILICON/JB	7912	
21861 75	90 09/25/2003				
JANAH & ASSOCIATES A PROFESSIONAL CORP			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
650 DELANCE SUITE 106			OLSEN, AI	OLSEN, ALLAN W	
SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 941072001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1763		

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A.S			
2	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
" Advisory Action	09/507,629	SHEN ET AL.				
Autisory Acadii	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Allan W. Olsen	1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 01 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	-					
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI						
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the			
(d) $\square$ they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	s.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•				

Alla Olan

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-5,7-15,17-21,32-49 and 67-81</u>. Claim(s) objected to: <u>51,52,57,58,61,63,64 and 66</u>.

Claim(s) rejected: 50,53-56,59,60,62 and 65.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The presently pending claims recite a limitation of a silicon-containing material which could include a silicide. With this limitation having been met by Nguyen's teaching of a silicide-containing material, the examination to date has not been sufficiently focused on the specific silicon-containing species that are recited in the after final amendment.